MAE294B/SIOC203B: Methods in Applied Mechanics http://web.eng.ucsd.edu/~sgls/MAE294B\_2020

## **Solutions I**

1 We showed in class that the pendulum oscillates if 0 < El/g < 2, with the maximum angle  $\theta_*$  being given by  $\cos \theta_* = 1 - El/g$  with  $0 < \theta_* < \pi$ . The period is the time it takes the pendulum to swing from 0 to the maximum value  $\theta_*$ , back and around to  $-\theta_*$  and finally to the origin again. By symmetry this is 4 times the time it takes to reach  $\theta_*$  from the origin, during which time  $\dot{\theta} \ge 0$ . Hence

$$T = 4 \int_0^{\theta_*} \frac{d\theta}{[2E + 2(\cos\theta - 1)g/l]^{1/2}} = 4\sqrt{\frac{l}{g}} \int_0^{\theta_*} \frac{d\theta}{[2(\cos\theta - \cos\theta_*)]^{1/2}}$$

This shows that  $\theta_*$  is a convenient non-dimensional parameter. Small amplitude means small  $\theta_*$ ; then in the integral  $\theta \ll 1$ , so that we can expand the cosines. This leads to the non-dimensional period

$$\sqrt{\frac{g}{l}}T \sim 4\int_0^{\theta_*} \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\sqrt{\theta_*^2 - \theta^2}} = 4\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{1 - u^2}} = 2\pi,$$

as expected, after making the change of variable  $\theta = \theta_* u$ . (The answer should be a number in this limit, since *E* and  $\theta_*$  are related.) The general integral is simple to evaluate numerically if one pays attention to the inverse square-root singularity (Matlab's integral function does fine). Alternatively one can write

$$\sqrt{\frac{g}{l}}T = 4\int_0^{\theta_*} \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{[\sin^2(\theta_*/2) - \sin^2(\theta/2)]^{1/2}} = 4\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{1 - k^2\sin^2 u}} = 4K(k)$$

making the substitution  $\sin(\theta/2) = \sin u \sin(\theta_*/2)$ , where  $k = \sin(\theta_*/2)$  and K(k) is the complete elliptical integral of the first kind with modulus k (or alternatively parameter  $m = k^2$ ). Figure 1 shows the non-dimensional period as a function of  $\theta_*$ .

2 The conditions on f(x) correspond to a double zero of f(x), so that the graph y = f(x) is tangent to the *y*-axis. Vanishing higher derivatives correspond to higher zeros, with the curve being tangent if the highest vanishing derivative is odd. The function  $f(x) = x^3 - x^2$  is a cubic, with a double zero at 0 and a simple zero at 1. We find  $f'(x) = 3x^2 - 2x$ , so that f'(0) = 0 and f'(1) = 1. Hence the fixed point 0 is semi-stable and the fixed point 1 is unstable, as can be seen from the graph. The local behavior near the origin is given by  $\dot{x} = -x^2$ , with solution

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{t + x_0^{-1}}$$

where  $x_0$  is the initial value of x(t). For  $x_0 < 0$ , x(t) blows up to  $-\infty$  in finite time, while for  $x_0 > 0$ , x(t) approaches the origin. This is consistent with a semi-stable fixed point



Figure 1: Period of pendulum.

with  $\dot{x} < 0$ , but is only the approximate behavior since the approximation breaks down as |x(t)| becomes large. The exact solution can be obtained by separation of variables and partial fractions:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^3 - x^2} = \mathrm{d}x \left( \frac{1}{x - 1} - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^2} \right) = \mathrm{d}t.$$

This can be integrated to give

$$\log \frac{(x-1)x_0}{(x_0-1)x} + \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x_0} = t.$$

If f(x) becomes  $x^3 - x^2 - \delta$ , then the fixed point at 1 shifts a little, while the fixed point at 0 either vanishes if  $\delta < 0$  or splits into two fixed points if  $\delta > 0$  (the location of new fixed points can be obtained approximately using perturbation theory; see later in the course). Hence there is a quantitative change in the dynamics (or structure) of the semi-stable fixed point for a small change in  $\delta$ . This is the origin of the general semi-stable fixed points are not structurally stable. (For higher odd zeros, the argument is not quite so clear.)

**3** The condition  $x_* = f(x_*)$  corresponds graphically to an intersection of the curve y = f(x) with the straight line y = x. Writing  $x_n = x_* + \xi_n$  and linearizing gives

$$\xi_{n+1}\simeq f'(x_n)\xi_n,$$

with solution  $\xi_n = [f'(x_n)]^n \xi_0$ . It's important to view  $\xi_n$  as a variable that evolves under a mapping. It grows in magnitude if  $|f'(x_n)| > 1$  and decays to 0 if  $|f'(x_n)| < 1$ . Graphically this means that if the slope is less than 1 in magnitude, the fixed point is stable,



Figure 2: Dots: iterates  $x_{101}, ..., x_{200}$  starting from  $x_1 = 1/2$ . Red curve:  $1 - r^{-1}$  (fixed point of the map); blue curve: fixed points of the iterated map  $f(f(x_n))$  for r > 3. Some transients are visible near r = 3.

while if the slope is greater than 1 in magnitude, the fixed point is unstable. There is a characteristic 'spiderweb' picture as the iteration converges or diverges. There was a typo in the logistic map, which I mean to write as

$$x_{n+1} = rx_n(1-x_n),$$

but this didn't get updated in the final posted version. As a result the values for r in the bonus part of the question were problematic; none of you pointed this out. The logistic map takes (0,1) to itself if  $0 \le r \le 4$ , since the maximum of x(1-x) is 1/4. The fixed points come from solving the quadratic x = rx(1-x), which has roots at 0 and  $1 - r^{-1}$ . The stability of these roots can be determined by looking at f'(x) = r(1-2x), so that f'(0) = r and  $f'(1-r^{-1}) = 2-r$ . The origin is hence unstable for r > 1. The larger fixed point exists for r > 1 and is stable for |2-r| < 1, i.e. 1 < r < 3. The second iterate has fixed points when  $xn + 2 = x_n$ , that is at  $x = r^2x(1-x)(1-rx+rx^2)$ . This quartic has roots at 0 and  $1 - r^{-1}$ , as before, and also at  $1/2 + 1/(2r) \pm (1 - 2/r - 3/r^2)^{1/2}/2$ . This has real roots for r > 3. Figure 2 shows the iterates for  $100 < n \le 200$  starting from  $x_1 = 1/2$ . The loss of stability of the different iterates is visible. More complicated are the apparently chaotic regions for r > 3.56995 or so. See the literature.

**4** There are four fixed points: (0,0), (2,2), (0,1) and (-1,2). The matrix of derivatives is

$$Df = \left( \begin{array}{cc} (1-2x)/3 & (-1+2y)/3 \\ 2-y & -x \end{array} \right).$$



Figure 3: Phase plane for 4.

At (0, 0) we have

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1/3 & -1/3 \\ 2 & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

This has eigenvalues  $(1 \pm i\sqrt{23})/6$ , so an unstable focus going anticlockwise (check behavior on axes). At (2,2) we have

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{array}\right).$$

This has eigenvalue -1 corresponding to eigenvector (1,0) and eigenvector -2 corresponding to eigenvector (-1,1), so a stable node tangential to (1,0). At (0,1) we have

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

This has positive eigenvalue  $(1 + \sqrt{13})/6$  corresponding to approximate eigenvector (0.61, 0.79) and negative eigenvector  $(1 - \sqrt{13})/6$  corresponding to approximate eigenvector (-0.40, 0.92), so a saddle. At (-1, 2) we have

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

This has a repeated eigenvalue of 1 and is the canonical degenerate unstable node with eigenvector (1, -1). Combining these four local behaviors gives the phase plane shown in Figure 3.